Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/960,529	RENAUD, BENJAMIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUAN A. VU	2193	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>TUAN A. VU</u> .	(3)		
(2) Joe O'Malley.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.			
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1-4,19 and 55.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The examiner contacted to let know that some throographical issues remained in c			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MINERVIEW, See MFEP Section 713.04, If a reply to the last Office adon has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW WARMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.			
/Tuan A Vu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2193			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)